Appl. No. 10/732,940 Reply to Office Action of May 11, 2006 Page 2

## REMARKS

In the above-referenced Office Action, the claims were rejected on the grounds of nonstatutory double patenting. Attached is a Terminal Disclaimer obviating the rejection. Applicant respectfully asserts that the claims are in condition for allowance and requests notice of the same. Should any issues remain the Examiner is requested to telephone the undersigned attorney to attend to these matters.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: July 12, 2006 /Daniel G. Chapik/

Daniel G. Chapik Reg. 43,424 Telephone: (763) 514-3066 Customer No. 27581